

**RECEIVED**

**DEC 17 2013**

**CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

LI SHAOMING,  
MO HAILONG, also known as Robert Mo,  
WANG LEI,  
WANG HONGWEI,  
YE JIAN, and  
LIN YONG,

Defendants.

Criminal No. 4:13-CR-147

INDICTMENT

T. 18 U.S.C. §1832(a)(1-5)

T. 18 U.S.C. §1834

T. 18 U.S.C. §2323

**THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:**

**INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS**

**Background**

1. Beijing Dabeinong Technology Group Company, (DBN) is a large Chinese Conglomerate based in Beijing. DBN has approximately sixty-seven different subsidiary companies. It produces and sells seed under its Jin Se Nong Hua Co., Ltd (also known as Beijing Kings Nower S & T, Co., Ltd).
2. Beijing Kings Nower Seed S & T, Co. (BKN) is the wholly owned seed subsidiary of DBN. Beijing Kings Nower Seed is headquartered in Beijing, China.

**Associated U.S. Company**

3. Kings Nower North America Company, Inc. (KNNA) believed to be a subsidiary of Beijing Kings Nower Seed S & T Co., Ltd., was incorporated in Illinois on December 7, 2011, by registered agent MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo. The registered offices of Kings Nower North America are located at 1100 Sherman Ave Suite 115 Naperville, Illinois. Kings Nower North America purchased and currently owns real

property consisting of approximately forty acres at 26138 S. 104<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Monee, Illinois. The property consists of farmland, several outbuildings, and a single family residence. The property was purchased by MO HAILONG on behalf of Kings Nower North America on March 30, 2012.

**Defendants**

4. Defendant, LI SHAOMING, was Chief Operating Officer of Beijing Kings Nower Seed S & T Co., Ltd. Defendant, LI SHAOMING, was born in the People's Republic of China (PRC) in 1966 and is a citizen of the PRC. During the times set forth below, LI SHAOMING was in the United States pursuant to a B1/B2 visitor visa.
5. Defendant, MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, was a resident of Miami, Florida, and Director of International Business of Beijing Dabeinong Technology Group Co., LTD. Defendant, MO HAILONG, was born in the PRC in 1969 and is a citizen of the PRC. He became a Lawful Permanent Resident (LPR) of the United States in May 2005.
6. Defendant, WANG LEI, was a citizen and resident of China, and the Vice Chairman of Beijing Kings Nower Seed S & T CO., LTD. Defendant, WANG LEI, was born in the PRC in 1962. During the times set forth below, WANG LEI was in the United States pursuant to a B1/B2 visitor visa.
7. Defendant, WANG HONGWEI, was a resident of Quebec, Canada. WANG HONGWEI is a citizen of Canada and believed to also be a citizen of the PRC. Defendant, WANG HONGWEI, was born in the PRC in 1969. During the times set forth below, WANG HONGWEI was in the United States pursuant to his status as a Canadian Citizen.

8. Defendant, YE JIAN, was a resident and citizen of the PRC and was a research manager for Beijing Kings Nower Seed S & T Co., Ltd. Defendant, YE JIAN, was born in the PRC in 1981. During the times set forth below, YE was in the United States pursuant to a B1/B2 visitor visa.
9. Defendant, LIN YONG, was a resident and citizen of the PRC and was an employee for Beijing Kings Nower Seed S & T Co., Ltd. Defendant, LIN YONG, was born in the PRC in 1976. During the times set forth below, LIN was in the United States pursuant to a B1/B2 visitor visa.

**Victim Companies**

10. Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. is a seed manufacturer located in Johnston, Iowa. Pioneer is a wholly owned subsidiary of Dupont, a corporation headquartered in Wilmington, Delaware. Pioneer sells seed under its company name. Pioneer utilizes research and production fields in numerous locations including but not limited to Iowa and Illinois.
11. Monsanto Company is a diversified agricultural bio-technology company that sells seed using a variety of brand names, including Dekalb for its corn seed. Monsanto is headquartered in Saint Louis, Missouri. Monsanto utilizes production fields and facilities in numerous locations including but not limited to Iowa and Illinois.
12. LG Seeds is a seed subsidiary of AgReliant Genetics LLC, an independent seed producer headquartered in Westfield, Indiana. LG Seeds utilizes production fields and facilities in numerous locations including but not limited to Iowa, Illinois, and Indiana.

**Corn Breeding Process**

13. Germplasm is the genetic makeup of an organism. Corn germplasm is valuable intellectual property of a corn seed breeding and development company. A seed company can develop germplasm that exhibits certain “traits.” Germplasm can be enhanced through selection of superior plants and can also be Genetically Modified (“GMO”) through the use of biotechnology to exhibit and enhance desired traits. Examples of traits are disease resistance, pest resistance, and drought resistance. Germplasm is contained in both hybrid and inbred seed products of a seed company. Germplasm contains the scientific and technical information utilized to develop and produce inbred and hybrid corn seed.
14. A corn plant has separate male and female flowering parts—the tassel produces pollen and is the male part of the plant while the ear that contains the actual corn kernels is the female part. Each corn ear consists of several hundred kernels attached to the ear. Each kernel begins as an ovule and is fertilized by pollen from the tassel. A corn plant is a naturally cross-pollinated plant, i.e. most kernels are pollinated from surrounding plants. However, some kernels will be self-pollinated from pollen from the tassel of the same plant.
15. Every year corn breeders select seed from the best plants to use to grow corn the next season in an attempt to create higher yields. Beginning around the 1920s in North America, corn breeders discovered that if a corn plant was continually self-pollinated only (rather than being cross-pollinated) for six or more generations, an “inbred” (also referred to as “parent”) line of seed could be developed. An inbred line of seed develops smaller plants but they are more uniform in desired traits. An inbred seed is genetically

uniform and is “pure,” i.e., it will identically reproduce each successive year. When two inbred lines from unrelated genetic backgrounds are cross pollinated, the resulting offspring is a hybrid seed. A hybrid developed from two superior inbred lines will be a more robust and vigorous plant resulting in higher yields. However, seeds from hybrid plants are not planted to reproduce hybrid plants in successive years because the resulting second generation hybrid plants are non-uniform, less vigorous, and result in significantly lower yields. Therefore, seed customers must purchase new first generation hybrid seeds every year. Development of successful inbred lines of seed consumes significant resources of a seed company. A seed company employs many PhD-level scientists and other highly trained personnel to develop and evaluate inbred lines. Inbred seeds cannot be evaluated solely on their own for desired characteristics but instead must be evaluated for their test cross capabilities. Extensive work and research is conducted to determine which inbred lines exhibit superior test cross performance. Seed companies seek to utilize inbred lines that are easy to maintain and easy to cross so that hybrid seed production costs are minimized while maximizing results.

16. In addition to utilizing company owned plots of land to conduct evaluations of inbreds and test cross performance, seed companies rely primarily on “contract growers.” A contract grower is a private farmer that independently contracts with the seed company to grow a particular product. Contract grower fields can be used as either “research” fields (also referred to as “test” fields), or the field can be used as a “production” field. Research fields are fields being used to conduct testing and research of future products the company hopes to commercially produce and market. A research field can be utilized to grow hundreds of different inbreds and hybrids for evaluation. A production field is

utilized to grow male and female inbred lines to produce (or that will cross with one another in a controlled manner to produce) hybrid seeds that will then be sold to farmers in coming years. A standard contract requires the grower to plant and cultivate the seed provided by the seed company without knowledge of the product in question. Typically, a contract grower is not provided with specific information about the seed in question, including, but not limited to, the name of the product, the particular traits of the product, and whether the seed is being grown for research or production purposes.

17. In production fields, seed companies hire contract growers to plant inbred seed for the production of hybrid seed, which will be sold to farmers in later years. For the production of hybrid seed, the seed companies determine which inbred seeds will be used to produce male inbred plants and which will be used to create female inbred plants. Because an individual corn plant has both male and female components, the contract growers must manipulate the plants in order to determine which plants will be male plants and which will be female plants. Crossing the male plants with the female plants in the production fields will produce hybrid seeds.
18. Some of the inbred plants in a production field are detassled. These are the plants determined to be the female plants, or the ones that will perform the female function in the hybrid production process. This is accomplished by removing the tassels (the male part of a corn plant) shortly after the tassels emerge from the sheaths of the plants. The tassels from other inbred plants are left intact. These are the plants that will be the male plants. Pollen from the tassels of these male plants will pollinate the surrounding female plants, which had their tassels removed. The ears of corn that eventually grow on the female plants will contain the hybrid seed.

19. The determination of which inbred plants will be used as male plants and which will be used as female plants is generally determined by the characteristics of each line. Inbred seed lines that produce plants with high pollen levels are normally preferred as male plants. Inbred lines that yield a high number of kernels are preferred as female plants. Each of the seed companies determines the ratio of male to female plants in their production fields. The ratio is usually one male row of plants for every four female rows. The planting of male and female plants can be timed to insure synchronization of male and female flowering. Many times, males are planted on multiple dates to ensure good pollination. When seed companies extract the hybrid seeds from their production fields at the end of the growing season, they harvest only the female plants because the ears of these plants contain the hybrid seeds.
20. However, a small percentage of the harvested seeds from a production field also contain some inbred seed. Even though the female plants' tassels are removed, a very small percentage of ovules on the female ears will be self-pollinated. This can come from tassels that were missed during the process of removing the female tassels. This results in a hybrid ear of corn containing approximately slightly less than one percent of its kernels that were self-pollinated from a female inbred plant. These few kernels are called female inbred seeds. Thus, every commercial bag of hybrid seed will contain a very small percentage of female inbred seed. This female inbred seed can be visually identified by an individual knowledgeable of plant breeding. When a bag of hybrid seed is planted, the inbred seed in that bag is also planted and will grow; however, due to an inbred seed producing a plant that is shorter and much less robust, it will be crowded out by the more robust and vigorous surrounding hybrids for water and sun and the inbred plants can die.

**Theft of Inbred Seeds**

21. The inbred seed of a seed company can be stolen by a variety of methods. The easiest and most straightforward method to steal inbred seed is to obtain the inbred seed directly. This could be accomplished by one of the following ways:
- a. A seed company employee can provide the inbred seed directly.
  - b. Spring Planting Season: If a production or research field of a seed company is identified, an individual can dig up a seedling before that seed germinates in the spring. Inbred seed from both male and female plants can be obtained in this manner.
  - c. Fall Harvest Season: If a production or research field is identified, an individual can obtain actual ears of corn from mature plants. The kernels obtained from male plants would be pure male inbred seed; however, the ears obtained from the female plants would be mostly hybrid corn. An individual would then have to plant the seed and examine the individual plants to determine which kernels were likely female inbred seed. Due to this difficulty, an individual trying to steal female inbred seed would be more successful digging seed prior to germination in the spring.
  - d. "Selfing" of a hybrid: An individual could successively self-pollinate, or "self," an elite hybrid for six or more seasons to get back to the underlying inbred seed that was utilized to breed that hybrid. However, the finished inbred will not be exactly what was used to create the hybrid. Another method to accomplish this would be to plant a bag of hybrid seed, identify the inbred plant(s) after germination, and then isolate the inbred plant by cutting down surrounding hybrid plants so the inbred continues to receive sufficient sun and nutrients to grow and produce inbred ears of corn. Due to the ability to "self" a hybrid, or isolate a female inbred by growing hybrid seed and



cutting down the surrounding hybrid plants, and/or subjecting a hybrid seed to scientific analysis, seed companies require their customers to sign and agree to a “use restriction” and/or “technology” agreement before being allowed to purchase hybrid seed. These agreements, in general, restrict a farmer from taking any kind of activity that would allow that farmer to extract the underlying inbred seed utilized to produce that hybrid.

- e. Scientific Laboratory Analysis: An individual or entity with robust enough scientific laboratory capabilities could conduct genetic analysis of a particular seed in order for their own scientific personnel to replicate the same seed.

#### **Protection of Intellectual Property**

- 22. Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto, and LG Seeds employ the following steps to protect their intellectual property, i.e. their corn germplasm:
  - a. At their research facilities and production facilities, visitor access is controlled. Use of identification badges, controlled-area access, and visitor logs are utilized.
  - b. Use of company computer networks is controlled, access restricted, and monitored.
  - c. Security cameras and building access is monitored.
  - d. Record-keeping and tracking of test seed is conducted.
  - e. Contract growers are not told what type of seed product they are growing. This is to protect the confidentiality of the product being grown. A company’s field manager delivers the seed to be grown to the contract grower in unmarked, non-descript bags. Oftentimes this seed is delivered very close to planting time to lessen the chance of the seed being stolen or otherwise being illegally transferred.

- f. The seed is grown in unmarked non-attributable fields to try and protect the confidentiality of the product being grown.
- g. At particularly sensitive field locations, fields are monitored by seed company security personnel and/or subjected to increased field visits by company representatives.
- h. Use of technology agreements are required by all customers purchasing a company's seed. These agreements restrict anyone from purchasing the seed from conducting any type of experiments or shipping or transporting the seed to overseas locations.
- i. Use of patents and "plant variety protection act" applications are obtained for proprietary seed products.

**THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES:**

**COUNT 1**  
**(Conspiracy to Steal Trade Secrets)**

- 23. The allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 28 are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. Beginning at least as early as on or about April 2011, and continuing to on or about December 2012, in the Southern District of Iowa and elsewhere, the Defendants:

LI SHAOMING  
MO HAILONG, also known as Robert Mo,  
WANG LEI  
WANG HONGWEI  
YE JIAN  
LIN YONG

together with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed to:

- a. knowingly and without authorization steal, appropriate, take, carry away, and conceal, trade secrets belonging to Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto, and LG Seeds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1832(a)(1);
- b. knowingly and without authorization transmit, deliver, send and mail trade secrets belonging to Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto, and LG Seeds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1832(a)(2); and
- c. knowingly receive and possess trade secrets belonging to Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto, and LG Seeds, knowing the same to have been stolen, appropriated, obtained and converted without authorization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1832(a)(3);

intending to convert a trade secret that is related to and included in a product, namely proprietary inbred and hybrid corn seed, that is produced for and placed in interstate and foreign commerce, to the economic benefit of someone other than Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto, or LG Seed, and intending and knowing that the offense will, injure the owners of said trade secrets.

#### **Manner and Means of the Conspiracy**

25. Among the means and methods by which the defendants and their co-conspirators stole, attempted to steal, appropriated and took, inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of the victim seed companies was to: 1) dig up and steal inbred corn seedlings from fields utilized by the victim seed companies before spring germination; 2) steal mature ears of inbred corn seed from fields utilized by the victim seed companies during the fall harvest season; and 3) steal or otherwise unlawfully obtain packaged hybrid corn

seed constituting the intellectual property of the victim seed companies from an unauthorized source.

**Overt Acts**

In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to effect the objects thereof, the Defendants:

LI SHAOMING  
MO HAILONG, also known as Robert Mo,  
WANG LEI  
WANG HONGWEI  
YE JIAN  
LIN YONG

and their co-conspirators and agents committed and caused to be committed the following overt acts, among others, on or about the following dates in the Southern District of Iowa and elsewhere:

26. On or about April 4, 2009, Defendant MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, rented storage locker #48 at A&M Mini Self-Storage located at 420 Nile Kinnick North, Adel, Iowa.
27. On or about May 3, 2011, Defendants, MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, and WANG LEI, were observed near a field containing recently planted inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred, located at or near Dysart, Iowa. Defendant, MO HAILONG, was observed on his knees digging in the field. Defendant, WANG LEI, was observed in the driver's seat of a nearby car. After being confronted by a Pioneer Field Manager, Defendant, MO HAILONG, advised he was an employee of the University of Iowa travelling to a conference in the area. When the Pioneer Field Manager was distracted by a phone call, Defendants, MO HAILONG and WANG LEI, left the area in the car operated by WANG LEI at a high rate of speed.

28. On or about September 6, 2011, Defendants, MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, WANG LEI, and LI SHAOMING, were observed standing near a field containing inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Monsanto, located at or near Bondurant, Iowa.
29. On September 27, 2011, Defendant, MO HAILONG, mailed 15 packages to his residence at 22130 Candle Court, Boca Raton, FL. The packages, which ranged in weight from 13 to 40 pounds each, were mailed from a United Parcel Service (UPS) store in West Des Moines, Iowa. Defendant, MO HAILONG, described the contents of the UPS package to be "corn samples." The total weight of the packages was 341.27 pounds. The total shipping charge was \$1,152.97.
30. On or about March 30, 2012, Defendants, MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, and KINGS NOWER NORTH AMERICA COMPANY, INC., purchased real property located at 26138 South 104<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Monee, Illinois.
31. On or about May 11, 2012, Defendants, MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, YE JIAN, and LIN YONG, attempted to ship approximately 250 pounds of corn seed, packaged in forty-two, five-gallon zip-lock bags contained in five separate boxes, from Federal Express in Orland Park, Illinois to Mr. Chan, Set Logistics Company, LTD., in Hong Kong.
32. On or about May 15, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN, and LIN YONG, provided false information about their association, their employment, and the nature of their recent travel when questioned by federal agents at the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport immediately prior to their return flight to China.

33. On or about August 28, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LI SHAOMING, and others, visited storage locker #E17 at Infinite Self-Storage located at 21827 South Schoolhouse Road, New Lenox, Illinois.
34. On or about August 30, 2012 at 6:09 pm, Defendant, YE JIAN, advised Defendant, LIN YONG, that they would receive instructions from Defendant, LI SHAOMING.
35. On or about August 30, 2012 at approximately 6:37 pm, Defendant, LIN YONG, advised Defendant, YE JIAN, to expect inquiry from Defendant, LI SHAOMING, as to their daily productivity.
36. On or about August 31, 2012 at approximately 11:18 pm, Defendant, LIN YONG, warns Defendant, YE JIAN, that they must be careful not to get caught by police, indicating the police sometimes hide themselves.
37. On or about August 31, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of LG Seeds from fields owned and planted by LG Seeds located near Manito, Illinois.
38. On or about August 31, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred from a field owned and planted by Pioneer Hi-Bred located near Green Valley, Illinois.
39. On or about August 31, 2012 at approximately 1:45 pm, Defendant, LIN YONG, commented to Defendant, YE JIAN, they must show Defendant, LI SHAOMING, how heavy their workload has been over the previous two days.
40. On or about August 31, 2012, at approximately 3:03 pm, Defendant, LIN YONG, advised Defendant, YE JIAN, "Dr. Li will assign work for us, otherwise we won't know where we should be going."

41. On or about August 31, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Monsanto from a field owned and planted by Monsanto located near Mansfield, Illinois.
42. On or about August 31, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Monsanto from fields owned and planted by Monsanto located near Arrowsmith, Illinois.
43. On or about September 1, 2012 at approximately 7:32 am, Defendant, LIN YONG, informs Defendants, YE JIAN and WANG HONGWEI, that Defendant, LI SHAOMING, is very stubborn and during last year's operation, Defendant, LI SHAOMING, argued with Defendants, WANG LEI and MO HAILONG, the entire time.
44. On or about September 1, 2012 at approximately 8:31 am, Defendant, YE JIAN, informs Defendant, LIN YONG, there could be lots of duplications in the samples they have collected. Defendant, LIN YONG, agrees with Defendant, YE JIAN, stating during last year's operation, Defendant, LI SHAOMING, collected over 300 samples of which 100 were duplicates.
45. On or about September 1, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred from a field owned and planted by Pioneer Hi-Bred located near Earlville, Illinois.
46. On or about September 1, 2012 at approximately 5:22 pm, Defendant, LIN YONG, engaged in conversation with Defendant, YE JIAN, concerning the risk involved in removing inbred corn seed from U.S. fields. LIN YONG stated "these are actually very serious offenses." YE JIAN replied "they could treat us as spies." LIN YONG stated "that is what we've been doing...what I'm trying to say is, as for the charges there could

be several...trespassing other people's private property, that's one, secondly, theft larceny and third, violation of IP law...that's three charges." Later in the conversation, LIN YONG stated "these are private properties, entering without permission is considered a criminal offense." LIN YONG continued "taking things from the property is theft. When the number of stolen goods reaches a certain amount, this becomes IP violation. Nowadays, the U.S. is very hostile to China on this matter." LIN YONG continued "if they max the punishment, then we are done...I mentioned to Dr. Li that I don't agree on running this project for such a long time." YE JIAN replied, "the longer the time is, the more likely we get into trouble."

47. On or about September 1, 2012 at approximately 5:22 pm, Defendant, LIN YONG, advises Defendant, YE JIAN, that if they are caught stealing technological secrets it would not be good for them or the company, and affects the country (PRC) and the government. Defendant, LIN YONG, replies that Defendant, LI SHAOMING, has thought about matters at this level and still wants Defendants, LIN YONG and YE JIAN, to continue.
48. On or about September 1, 2012 at approximately 5:50 pm, Defendant, LIN YONG, advises Defendant, YE JIAN, "any term, goes into others' fields...theft, IP, any one term, is very severe." Defendant, YE JIAN laughs and states "others think we are spies sent from China." Defendant, LIN YONG, replies "we are surely considered as such."
49. On or about September 1, 2012 at approximately 7:37 pm, Defendant, LIN YONG, asks Defendant, YE JIAN, "for example, if stopped by police and asked what we do, how to answer?" Defendant, YE JIAN, responds "depends on where. The only thing to say, if in the fields, would be that we are students...working on surveys." Defendant, LIN YONG,



states "surveys for the U.S. agricultural production. Defendant YE JIAN, replies "correct."

50. On or about September 2, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred from a field owned and planted by Pioneer Hi-Bred located near Harmon, Illinois.
51. On or about September 2, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred from a field owned and planted by Pioneer Hi-Bred located near Manito, Illinois.
52. On or about September 2, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of LG Seeds from fields owned and planted by LG Seeds located near Manito, Illinois.
53. On or about September 3, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Monsanto from fields owned and planted by Monsanto located near Foosland, Illinois.
54. On or about September 3, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Monsanto from fields owned and planted by Monsanto located near Dewey, Illinois.
55. On or about September 3, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Monsanto from a field owned and planted by Monsanto located near Rantoul, Illinois.
56. On or about September 3, 2012, Defendants, YE JIAN and LIN YONG, did work together to remove inbred corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Monsanto from a field owned and planted by Monsanto located near Fairmount, Illinois.

57. On or about September 11, 2012, Defendants, LI SHAOMING and YE JIAN, visited storage locker #48 at A&M Storage Locker in Adel, Iowa.
58. On or about September 23, 2012, Defendants, LI SHAOMING and YE JIAN, visited storage locker #48 at A&M Storage Locker in Adel, Iowa.
59. On or about September 25, 2012, Defendants, LI SHAOMING and YE JIAN, visited storage locker #E17 at Infinite Self-Storage in New Lenox, Illinois.
60. On or about September 27, 2012, Defendants, LI SHAOMING and YE JIAN, packaged corn seed for reshipment at storage locker #E17 at Infinite Self-Storage in New Lenox, Illinois.
61. On or about September 28, 2012, Defendants, LI SHAOMING and YE JIAN, loaded corn seed from within storage locker #E17 at Infinite Self-Storage in New Lenox, Illinois and transported said corn seed to the property located at 26138 South 104th Avenue, Monee, Illinois.
62. On or about September 29, 2012, Defendants, MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, LI SHAOMING, WANG HONGWEI, YE JIAN, and others, prepared the corn seed for transport to China.
63. On or about September 29, 2012, Defendant, MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, instructed Defendant, YE JIAN, on the importance of thoroughly cleaning the vehicles utilized to steal corn seed. Defendant, MO HAILONG, stated "this is not optional...before...this, when we were working we washed that car twice...washed and wiped clean twice...still not enough." Later in the conversation, Defendant, MO HAILONG, stated "when the car got too dirty, Wang Lei, Dr. Li and I washed the car together...they were very happy doing it and felt good too." Defendant, YE JIAN,

replied “we do some cleaning...the car has been washed many times.” Defendant, MO HAILONG, stated “car washed...I vacuumed the inside of the car twice...last time when returned the car, you guys finished and left, I went back and vacuumed it for one more time...because I, well, I am very attention to details...I did not want to leave any traceable marks or clues in the car.” Defendant, YE JIAN, replied “right...not a single kernel of corn was left behind.”

64. On or about September 30, 2012, Defendant, MO HAILONG, also known as, Robert Mo, transported an unknown quantity of corn seed constituting the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto and LG Seed, during his flight from Chicago, Illinois to Fort Lauderdale, Florida.
65. On or about September 30, 2012, Defendant, LI SHAOMING, attempted to smuggle corn seed from in and about Monee, Illinois to China. More specifically, Defendant, LI SHAOMING, concealed approximately 374 small manila envelopes each containing small quantities of corn seed within two boxes of Pop Weaver brand microwave popcorn concealed in an item of checked luggage on his flight leaving Chicago, Illinois destined for Beijing, China. In addition, Defendant, LI SHAOMING, concealed approximately 374 small manila envelopes each containing small quantities of corn seed within 12 brown paper bags concealed in an item of checked luggage on his flight leaving Chicago, Illinois destined for Beijing, China. Each envelope was uniquely marked on the exterior of the envelope. Said corn seed was the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto and LG Seed.

66. On or about September 30, 2012, Defendant, YE JIAN, attempted to smuggle corn seed from in and about Monee, Illinois to China. More specifically, Defendant, YE JIAN, concealed approximately 100 Subway napkins each containing small quantities of corn seed within two boxes of Orville Redenbacher brand microwave popcorn concealed in an item of checked luggage on his flight leaving Chicago, Illinois destined for Beijing, China. In addition, Defendant, YE JIAN, concealed approximately 30 Subway napkins each containing each containing small quantities of corn seed in the pockets of shorts contained in an item of checked luggage on his flight leaving Chicago, Illinois destined for Beijing, China. In addition, Defendant, YE JIAN, concealed an additional quantity of Subway napkins each containing a small quantity of corn seed on his person as he boarded his flight leaving Chicago, Illinois destined for Beijing, China. Said corn seed was the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto and LG Seed.
67. On or about September 30, 2012, Defendant, WANG HONGWEI, attempted to smuggle corn seed from in and about Monee, Illinois to Canada. More specifically, Defendant, WANG HONGWEI, concealed approximately 44 small brown bags, each containing approximately 20 smaller manila envelopes containing small quantities of corn seed, in and about a backpack underneath the seats of the car he was attempting to drive across the border into Canada at the Highgate Border Crossing near Highgate, Vermont. Each envelope was uniquely marked on the exterior of the envelope. Said corn seed was the intellectual property of Pioneer Hi-Bred, Monsanto and LG Seed.
- This is a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1832(a)(5).

**THE GRAND JURY FINDS:**

**Notice of Forfeiture**

68. Pursuant to Title 18 United States Code, Section 1834, upon conviction of the offense alleged in Count 1 of this Indictment the Defendants:

LI SHAOMING  
MO HAILONG, also known as Robert Mo,  
WANG LEI  
WANG HONGWEI  
YE JIAN  
LIN YONG

shall forfeit to the United States of America:

1. Any property constituting or derived from any proceeds obtained directly or indirectly as a result of the commission of such offenses; and any property used, or intended to be used in any manner or part, to commit or facilitate the commission of the offenses.

This property includes, but is not limited to, the following:

26138 South 104th Avenue, Monee, Will County, Illinois. More particularly described as: the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 34 North, Range 12 East of the Third Principal Meridian, in Will County, Illinois, consisting of 40 acres, more or less.

2. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants,
  - (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
  - (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
  - (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 2323.

**A TRUE BILL.**

/s/  
FOREPERSON

Nicholas A. Klinefeldt  
United States Attorney

By: /s/  
Jason T. Griess  
Assistant United States Attorney